UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,017	04/24/2006 Eiji Takaike		CU-4798 RJS	7524	
26530 LADAS & PAR	7590 01/07/200 RRY LLP	EXAMINER			
	ICHIGAN AVENUE	GREEN, TELLY D			
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/577,017		TAKAIKE, EIJI				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			TELLY D. G		2822				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, or	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event Il apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 Oc	tober 2008						
'=	•	2b)⊠ This a		n-final					
′=		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	olooca iii decordanice wiiii ine praec	ico dilaci Ex	· parco Quay	70, 1000 0.0. 11, 10	0.0.2.210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,16 and 17</u> is/are p	pending in th	ne applicatio	n.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) 又	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-12, 16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election rea	uirement.					
Application—	on Papers								
	Γhe specification is objected to by th								
10) 🔲 -	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice (3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) • No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	_) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to arguments/amendments filed on October 10, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamane et al. (Yamane) (US Publication 2004/0070064 A1) in view of Anderson et al. (US Publication 2003/0038415 A1).

In regards to claims 1-3, 5 – 11, 16, Yamane discloses (Figs. 20K-20N, 210, 23I-24M, 50) an electronic element (item 20); and an interposer (items 50/72, 74, 72 plus 74, 48 plus 14 plus 50/72 plus 74) including an interposer base (item 50, 72, 74 or 50/72 plus 74) to which the electronic element is joined, and a plurality of post electrodes (items 18, 23) that are disposed inside one or more through holes (portions where items 18 and 23 are formed) formed in the interposer base and are connected to corresponding electrodes (item 22) of the electronic element; wherein a surface of the electronic element (item 20) and a surface of the interposer base (bottom portion of item 50/72, 74 or 50/72 plus 74) are integrated with each other by being brought into direct contact with each other, and the post electrodes (item 23) are formed directly on the corresponding electrodes of the electronic element, first and second insulating layer (items 14, 24, Figs. 41), a recess to accommodate electronic element (Figs. 19I,

Application/Control Number: 10/577,017

Art Unit: 2822

19J, 20K-20M) a plurality of electronic elements (items 20 and 30) mounted to the interposer base (Fig. 41) and a sealing resin (item 40, Fig.41) encapsulating the electronic element is disposed on the interposer base, but does not specifically disclose wherein the electronic element and the interposer are made of silicon.

Page 3

Anderson discloses the electronic element and the interposer base are made of silicon/same material (paragraphs 22, 23).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings above for the purpose of having a chip and an interposer/interposer base with approximately the same thermal expansion coefficient (paragraph 23).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamane et al. (Yamane) (US Publication 2004/0070064 A1) in view of Anderson et al. (Anderson) (US Publication 2003/0038415 A1) as applied to claims 1-3, 5-11 and 16 above, and further in view of Terui (US Publication 2004/0150104 A1).

In regards to claim 12, Yamane as modified by Anderson does not specifically disclose wherein the electronic element is a passive element.

Terui discloses (Figs. 4, 6, 12, 16) wherein the electronic element is a passive element (paragraph 76).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings above for the purpose of regulating electrical characteristics (paragraph 19).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamane et al. (Yamane) (US Publication 2004/0070064 A1) in view of Anderson et al. (Anderson) (US Publication 2003/0038415 A1) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Chakravorty et al. (Chakravorty) (US Publication 2003/0185484 A1).

In regards to claim 17, Yamane as modified by Anderson does not specifically disclose wherein the electronic element is an optical device; and the interposer is provided with an optical waveguide optically connected to the optical device.

Chakravorty discloses wherein the electronic element is an optical device; and the interposer is provided with an optical waveguide optically connected to the optical device (Abstract, paragraphs 11-14).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings above for the purpose of optical and electrical functionality.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited for disclosing related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Jacobs et al. (US Patent 4,811,082)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TELLY D. GREEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST..

Application/Control Number: 10/577,017 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

/Telly D Green/ Examiner, Art Unit 2822 December 24, 2008

/N. Drew Richards/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2895